| PROPOSED DOG CONTRO  | ame and brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed ROPOSED DOG CONTROL ORDERS FOR THE DALES WARD: DOGS ON LEAD BY DIRECTION ORDER, 2.) DOGS EXCLUSION ORDER. |                                |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Information used to analys   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FORMAL CONSULTATION WAS UNDERTAKEN ACROSS THE WHOLE OF THE DALES WARD FROM 09.07.14 - 06.08.14 INCLUSIVE |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Could<br>particularly<br>benefit (X)  | May<br>adversely<br>impact (X) | How different groups could be affected:<br>Summary of impacts   | Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action not possible) |  |  |  |  |
| People from different ethnic groups  |   |                                | No impact identified for this strand.   | Not applicable.  |  |  |  |  |
| Men, women (including maternity/pregnancy impact), transgender people                                    |   |                                | No impact identified for this strand.   | Not applicable.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled people or carers  |   |                                | Exemptions are available to Dog Control Orders to some sections of the disabled Community. Disabled people in defined cases are exempt from some of the requirements if registered as disabled and using an assistance dog. | Enforce to confirm offenders circumstances at the tiem offence appears to have been committed. |  |  |  |  |
| People of different faiths/beliefs and those with none.  |   |                                | No impact identified for this strand.   | Not applicable.  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesbian, gay or bisexual<br>people   |   |                                | No impact identified for this strand.   | Not applicable.  |  |  |  |  |
| Older or younger people  |   |                                | No impact identified for this strand.   | Not applicable.  |  |  |  |  |
| Other (e.g. marriage/civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/good relations, vulnerable       |   |                                | A requirement for dogs to be kept on a lead will promote public safety. There are exemptions for working dogs in defined  | Enforce to confirm offenders circumstances at the tiem offence appears to have been committed. |  |  |  |  |

| children/adults)   |                | circumstances. |                           |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |                |                |                           |  |  |  |  |
| Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:   |                |                |                           |  |  |  |  |
| Note when assessment will be reviewed (e.g. Review assessment in 6 months or annual review); Note any equality monitoring indicators to be used; consider existing |                |                |                           |  |  |  |  |
| monitoring/reporting that equalities information could form part of.   |                |                |                           |  |  |  |  |
| Approved by (manager signature): ABU BELIM ON BEHALF OF:   |                |                | Date sent to equality tea | Date sent to equality team for publishing: Will be sent once |  |  |  |
| RICHARD ANTLCIFF, HEAD OF SERVICE, NEIGHBOURHOOD   |                |                | approval has been obt     | approval has been obtained from Area Committee.              |  |  |  |
| ENFORCEEMENT. C  | OMMUNITY PROET | CTION.         |                           |  |  |  |  |